Large Demographic Shocks and Small Changes in the Marriage Market*

Loren Brandt; University of Toronto

Aloysius Siow; University of Toronto

Carl Vogel; NERA Economic Consulting

Abstract

Between 1958 and 1961, China experienced a drastic famine. The famine substantially reduced birth rates and also adversely affected the health of these famine born cohorts. This paper provides non-parametric estimates of the total effects of the famine on the marital behavior of famine-affected cohorts in rural Sichuan and Anhui. These reduced from estimates incorporate general equilibrium and heterogenous treatment effects. The paper uses the Choo-Siow model, to decompose observed marital outcomes into quantity and quality effects. The small observed changes in marriage rates of the famine born cohorts are due to a substantial decline in their marital attractiveness. Controlling for changes in educational attainment does not change the conclusion. A decline in marital attractiveness of the famine affected cohorts is correlated with an increase in marital childlessness of those cohorts provides support for the external validity of the Choo Siow decomposition.

1 Introduction

The "Great Leap Forward" was a national-level political and economic experiment carried out in China between 1958-1961.[1] Collectivization of farming, which began in the mid-fifties, increased in speed and scope. Rural labor was reallocated from agriculture towards industry: People were moved from villages to work in urban factories, while agricultural land and labor were directed towards steel production in "backyard furnaces". In many localities, strong political incentives contributed to official exaggeration of grain yields and output, leading to a reduction in sown area, and excessive state procurement and export. This was in addition to a state rationing system that already favored urban industrial workers to the detriment of the villages.

The Greap Leap Forward resulted in one of the most severe famines in Chinese history. Estimates of famine-related mortality range from 15 to 30 million deaths. Peng (1987) estimates that births lost or postponed resulted in about 25 million fewer births.[2] In general, the countryside was struck much harder than cities.[3] The economic experiment was abandoned by early 1962. The mortality rate quickly fell and the birth rate also quickly recovered.

While the drop in birth rates is widely recognized, much less is known about the effects on those who were born during the famine. The medical literature reports that individuals suffering nutritional deprivation either *in utero* or in their infancy face severe deleterious long-run health effects (See Barker, 1992). Recent research by Gorgens et. al. (2005), St. Clair et. al. (2005), and Luo, Mu and Zhang (2006) provide some direct confirmation for this in the case of China, focusing on such health-related outcomes as stunting, obesity, and schizophrenia.

Not all the effects of the famine on the famine born cohorts were negative. Due to the drop in the birth rates, the famine born cohorts were small relative to adjacent birth cohorts. This scarcity should increase their relative values in both the marriage and labor markets. Their increased value in the labor market should also further add to their desirability in the marriage market.

Ceteris paribus, the net effect of the famine on marital outcomes of famine born cohorts is an aggregation of three effects: (1) a negative attractiveness effect due to adverse health outcomes that reduces demand for famine born spouses; (2) a positive attractiveness (wage) effect due to relative scarcity of famine born cohorts in the labor market that increases their demand as spouses; and (3) due to customary gender differences in ages of marriage, there is an increase in spousal demand for famine born cohorts because of their relative scarcity in the marriage market.

The famine also affected both pre and post-famine born cohorts. Pre-famine born cohorts were young children at the time of the famine. The famine would have adversely affected their health and human capital. The least fortunate among them would have died. So again there are quantity and quality effects on those who survived into marriageble age. Meng and Qian (2009) provide direct evidence on the long run health and labor market outcomes of these cohorts. How the marital attractiveness of these individuals compares with that of the famine born cohort is difficult to assess apriori.

The upshot of the above is that there are large quantity and complicated quality changes to the famine affected cohorts. The affected individuals also match with each other in the marriage market. Thus, the observed marital outcomes of the famine affected cohorts are equilibrium responses to these quantity and quality changes. Due to the complex changes and responses,

2

there were heterogenous responses by different affected cohorts.

The objective of this paper is to examine the effects of the famine on the marital outcomes of the famine born and adjacent cohorts in Sichuan, an agricultural province which was severely affected by the famine.[4,5] We study the rural population because the famine disproportionately affected rural rather than urban communities.[6]

Figures 1 shows the distributions of individuals by age in the 1990 Census for rural Sichuan. Due to a high long-run birth rate and mortality rates that increase with age, population by age should be declining with age. The famine born cohort was 29-31 years old men and women. As we can see in Figure 1 for Sichuan, the population of the pre-famine born cohort (32-34) was also adversely affected by the famine. That is, young children during the famine were less likely to survive to adulthood. On the other hand, the panel also shows the quick recovery in fertility (and subsequent survival to adulthood) after the famine.

The paper provides two kinds of estimates of the effects of famine on marital behavior. First, using a first-difference methodology, we non-parametrically estimate the total effect of the famine on the marital outcomes of the famine affected cohorts. To this end, we estimate a set of statistics, the total gains to marriages, which are a complete description of marital behavior by all participants at a point in time. We estimate total gains for two different time periods. In the control period, we assume that marital behavior was unaffected by the famine; in the treatment period, marital behavior was affected by the famine. The estimated differences in total gains between the two periods provide an estimate of the total effect of the famine on marital behavior. Our total effect estimates incorporate both general equilibrium and heterogeneous treatement effects. The estimates are consistent as long

3

as our first-difference methodology is able to control for other factors that may have affected marital behavior between the two periods. Due to the unusual non-linear effects of the famine on marital outcomes, we will argue that our choices of the treatment and control groups are credible. We implement our first difference empirical strategy by comparing, within a province, the marital behavior of the famine affected cohorts in 1990 to their same age counterparts in 1982.[7]

Second, in order to decompose the estimated total effects into quantity and quality effects, we first estimate a structural marriage matching model that will fit the 1982 marriage distribution. We next use the estimated model to predict how the marriage market in 1990 will respond to changes in observed population supplies in 1990. Finally, the estimated differences between the actual and predicted 1990 marriage distributions are estimates of changes in marital quality due to the famine. In order to obtain consistent estimates of the changes in quality, the structural marriage matching model must fit the 1982 marriage distribution. Otherwise, it is difficult argue that the estimated differences in 1990 are due to changes in quality alone.[8] Our non-parametric structural model will be the CS marriage matching model (Choo and Siow 2006).[9] Due to its flexibility and ease of use, recent researchers have used CS and its extensions to study different aspects of empirical marriage matching.[10] It fits the 1982 data (or the above reduced form estimates) perfectly.

In Sichuan, there were small observed changes in the marriage rates of the famine born cohorts relative to their adjacent aged peers. There were large changes in choices of marital partners and total gains for famine born cohorts relative to their adjacent aged peers. To a first approximation, our decomposition shows that the benefit that the famine born cohort derived

4

from their relative scarcity was offset by their decline in marital attractiveness. The main substantive conclusion of this paper is that the small observed changes in marriage rates of the famine born cohorts are due to a substantial decline in their marital attractiveness. Our main methodological conclusion is that allowing for general equilibrium effects and estimating heterogenous treatment effects are important because the pre-famine born, famine born and post-famine born cohorts experienced very different but linked outcomes.

Can the decline in marital attractiveness of the famine born cohort be captured by differences in educational attainment of the various cohorts? To answer this question, we re-estimate the CS model, allowing now for matching by both age and educational attainment. We show that the changes in educational attainment for the famine born cohort are insufficient to explain changes in marital behavior of that cohort. Thus the change in marital attractiveness of the famine born cohort is not well captured by the changes in their educational attainment.

Finally, because it is non-parametric and fits the 1982 marriage distribution perfectly, the internal validity of the CS cannot be tested using marriage matching data alone. So the last contribution of the paper is to utilize other data to test the external validity of the CS in our context. CS showed that the total gains parameters, which are identified in the CS model from marriage matching data, measure exactly mean marital output for each type of marital match. In other words, the change in the estimates of total gains for each type of marital match in the CS model between 1990 and 1982 measures exactly the change in mean marital output for that type of marital match due to the famine.

As discussed above and in the literature review below, many studies have

5

shown that the famine affected cohorts suffered adverse health outcomes. Ill health will reduce marital output of that couple. To the extent that cohort specific lower marital outputs due to the famine were observed and/or anticipated before they marry, measures of marital output should predict post-marital ill health outcomes. An extreme negative marital outcome is infertility in the marriage. In the 1982 and 1990 census, each woman was asked how many children did she gave birth to. For each census year, we construct a measure of childlessness for each type of marital match as the proportion of those marital matches which reported having no children. This construction of childlessness is completely unrelated to the marriage matching data used to estimate total gains.

Using each type of marriage match as an observation, we regress the change in childlessness between the two censuses on the change in estimated total gains. Our results show that, for each type of marital match, an increase in total gains is associated with a fall in childlessness between the two censuses. Since childlessness occurred after marriage, this result shows that our estimate of changes in total gains predict future changes in childlessness. Almond, et. al. 2007 already showed that childlessness increased as the sex ratio of the marital match decreased due to the famine. We show that relationship between changes in childlessness and changes in total gains is robust to the addition of changes in sex ratio and changes in population sizes between the two censuses. Thus this last section of the paper provides evidence of external validity for our use of changes in estimated total gains as a measure of the changes in marital output due to the famine.

1.1   Literature review

Our paper is substantively related to three literatures. First, it is related to the literature that studies the effects of the "Great Leap Forward" on social and economic outcomes (E.g. Almond, et. al.; Chen 2007; Geogens, et. al. 2007; Meng and Qian; Mu and Zhang, 2010; Porter 2010). Of these papers, Almond et. al. and Porter study the effects on the marriage market. We build on their work.

Both of these two papers use a regression framework to study the causal effects of famine-related changes on marital behavior of the affected cohorts. Both papers focus on homogenous treatment effects. Utilizing data on cohorts born between 1956-64, Almond et. al. analyze the effect of the severity of pre-natal exposure on marriage rates, age at marriage, spousal age differences and other spousal characteristics. They conclude that the famine had modest effects on marriage rates, but caused the affected cohorts to marry later, increased spousal age gaps, and decreased spousal education gaps. They attribute this to the decline in marital attractiveness of the famine born cohort. Porter, on the other hand, uses marital-share weighted adult sex ratios to capture the effects of relative scarcity on martial outcomes, controlling for marital attractiveness along the same lines as Almond et. al. Using data for cohorts born between the 1930s and 1970s, she finds that as women became more scarce, men married later and adapted their behavior to be more competitive in the marriage market. Women also exercised greater bargaining power post-marriage.

A famine effect on marital behavior in a marriage market is a general equilibrium phenomenon. A regression using individual-level data and across marriage market variation in sex ratios to estimate a famine effect on mari-

tal outcomes ignores these general equilibrium effects and also imposes one homogeneous treatment famine effect parameter.[11] Our paper incorporates all general equilibrium effects and estimates heterogenous treatment effects. We are more restrictive in one important respect. The regression framework controls for a wider variety of individual characteristics than we are able to do.[12] Thus we view our framework as complementary to the above papers.

Second, our paper is also related to a literature looking at the effect of early childhood nutrition, including that experienced in utero, on life outcomes such as health, education and fertility. Maternal nutritional deprivation has been linked to a broad spectrum of adult outcomes including obesity, schizophrenia, coronary heart disease morbidity. A study in Guatemala (Ramakrishnan et. al. 1999) identifies positive effects of nutritional supplements on reproduction outcomes for those in utero and up to three years of age. Studies based on the 1944-45 Dutch Famine (Kyle, et. al., 2006 and Elia et. al., 2005) only find a link between post-natal exposure to famine and female reproduction in terms of childlessness, age at first delivery, or family size. In the Chinese context, Almond et. al. (2007) find a positive but statistically weak correlation between the severity of pre-natal exposure to famine and the likelihood of females having children. They find no effect for males.

Finally, our paper is also related to the literature that studies the effect of exogenous variations in the sex ratios on marital outcomes (Akabayashi, 2006; Bhrolchain 2001; Brainard 2006; Esteve i and Cabré 2004; Francis 2007). Many of the exogenous variations in sex ratios have both a quality and quantity dimension due to the effects of war (e.g. Brainard; Esteve i and Cabré; and Francis). Even the variations in sex ratios due to superstition about being born in "unlucky" years have a quality dimension (Akabayashi).

Individuals born in these "unlucky" years suffer a social stigma that makes them less desirable in the marriage market. But they benefit from being relatively scarce in the labor and marriage market. Our framework can be applied to disentangle these two effects in these environments.

Previous researchers who have studied marriage rates and large exogenous sex ratio changes in other contexts have also often found small effects of these changes on marriage rates, e.g. Bhrolchain (2001). As we do in this paper, these researchers attribute these small effects to flexible spousal choices in the face of large changes in sex ratios. But small changes in marriage rates due to a large exogenous change in sex ratio may be deceiving. In the case of this paper, the small changes mask offsetting large quantity and quality effects.

Methodologically, we build on Bergstrom and Lam's (1994; hereafter BL) frictionless transferable utility model of the Swedish marriage market. Assuming a constant long run marriage, they derived a one parameter marriage matching function such that the population of Sweden could achieve a long run marriage rate of unity for every birth cohort. Foster and Khan (2000) provides more microeconomic foundations to BL making it closer to CS; and makes a similar quantitative point using data from Bangladesh. Based on our own investigations, BL does not fit the 1982 marital distribution in rural Sichuan due to its lack of free parameters. CS follows their conceptual framework and extends BL, and Foster and Khan in two ways. First, CS is non-parametric and second, CS endogenizes the marriage rates of different birth cohorts. Ignoring thin cells, CS fits the observed marital behavior in 1982 perfectly.[13]

The advantage of CS is not due to its non-parametric nature alone. The most commonly used MMF in the literature, the harmonic mean MMF (Qian

9

and Preston 1993; Schoen, Robert 1981), also fits the 1982 data perfectly. As shown in our working paper, this model results in inadmissible 1990 predictions (predicted marriage rates exceeding unity).

2 Methodology

This paper uses three statistics to study marital behavior: marriage rates, marriage shares and a marital accounting scheme, total gains to marriage.

Let t denote the year of the census, $t = \{1982, 1990\}$. At each year, individuals are differentiated by their age and or education. Let j denote type j women and i denote type i men. $j = 1, .., J$ and $i = 1, ..I$. F^t is the population vector of women at time t with typical element f_j^t, the number of women of type j in year t. M^t is the population vector of men at time t with typical element m_i^t, the number of men of type i in year t.

μ_j^t is the number of married women of type j year t. μ_i^t is the number of married men of type i year t. Let the number of unmarried type i men be $\mu_{i0}^t = m_i - \mu_i^t$, and the number of unmarried type j women be $\mu_{0j}^t = f_j - \mu_j^t$. Let μ_{ij}^t be the number of type i men married to type j women. There are $I \times J$ types of marriages at time t. Let μ^t be an $I \times J$ matrix whose typical element is μ_{ij}^t.

The following accounting identities, which are general equilibrium constraints, have to be satisfied:

$$\mu_{0j} + \sum_{i=1}^{I} \mu_{ij} = f_j \; \forall \, j \tag{1}$$

$$\mu_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mu_{ij} = m_i \; \forall \, i$$

$$\mu_{i0}, \mu_{0j}, \mu_{ij} \geq 0 \; \forall \, i, j$$

The first equation above says that the sum of unmarried type j women plus all the type j women in different types of marriages must equal the number of type j women. The second equation above says that the sum of unmarried type i men plus all the type i men in different types of marriages must equal the number of type i men. Finally, the number of individuals in any marital choice must be non-negative.

Rather than working with μ^t, M^t and F^t, most researchers use simpler statistics to describe the marriage market at time t. The most common statistics are the marriage rates:

$$r_i^t = \frac{\mu_i^t}{m_t^i}; \; r_j^t = \frac{\mu_j^t}{f_j^i}; \; i = 1,..,I; \; j = 1,..J$$

Marriage rates are equivalent to the marriage odds ratio for different types of individuals:

$$o_i^t = \frac{\mu_i^t}{m_t^i - \mu_i^t}; \; o_j^t = \frac{\mu_j^t}{f_j^i - \mu_j^t}; \; i = 1,..,I; \; j = 1,..J$$

Marriage rates or odds ratios are not summary statistics for marital behavior. To study who marries whom, we first study spousal shares by types of husbands and wives:

$$s_{j|i}^t = \frac{\mu_{ij}^t}{\mu_i^t}; \; i = 1, .., I; \; j = 1, ...J \tag{2}$$

$$s_{i|j}^t = \frac{\mu_{ij}^t}{\mu_j^t}; \; i = 1, .., I; \; j = 1, ...J \tag{3}$$

$s_{j|i}^t$ is the share of type j women among type i's wives. $s_{i|j}^t$ is the share of type i men among type j's husbands. Shares are informative about spousal subsitution patterns, i.e. who to marry. By definition, the sum of the shares across different types of spouses for the same type of individual is one. Thus the shares are not informative about the choice of whether to marry or not.

To investigate how substitution affects the decision to marry and vice versa, we need a statistic that will link the two effects. To that end, let the total gains to an $\{i, j\}$ marriage, π_{ij}^t, be:

$$\pi_{ij}^t = \ln \frac{\mu_{ij}^t}{\sqrt{\mu_{i0}^t \mu_{0j}^t}}; \; i = 1, .., I; j = 1, ...J \tag{4}$$

The numerator is the number of $\{i, j\}$ marriages at time t. The denominator is the geometric average of the number of unmarrieds, μ_{i0}^t and μ_{0j}^t at time t. Let Π^t be the $I \times J$ matrix with typical element π_{ij}^t.

We can rewrite total gains as:

$$\pi_{ij}^t = \ln \sqrt{o_i^t o_j^t} \sqrt{s_{i|j}^t s_{j|i}^t}; \; i = 1, .., I; j = 1, ...J \tag{5}$$

π_{ij}^t, the total gains to $\{i, j\}$ marriages, is the average of the log odds of marriages for i type men and j type women plus the average of the log shares. Thus the total gains to marriage combines substitution patterns with marriage rates.

Π^t is a reparametrization of μ^t.[14] Given Π^t, M^t and F^t, Decker, et. al. (2010) shows that the system of $I \times J$ equations (4) can be used to *uniquely*

recover μ^t. Thus μ_t, M^t and F^t is observationally equivalent to Π^t, M^t and F^t.

Consider a new time t' with different marital matches and population supplies, μ^{t^0}, M^{t^0} and F^{t^0}. We can estimate new total gains, Π^{t^0}:

$$\pi_{ij}^{t^0} = \ln \frac{\mu_{ij}^{t^0}}{\sqrt{\mu_{i0}^{t^0} \mu_{0j}^{t^0}}}; \; i = 1, .., I; j = 1, ..J \tag{6}$$

Π^{t^0} is a complete description of the marriage distribution in time t'. Let $\Delta X \equiv X^{t^0} - X^t$. Then $\Delta \Pi$ is a complete description of the changes in marital behavior between the two periods.

Let t denote a period in which the famine did not affect marital behavior. We use Π^t to characterize marital behavior at time t. Let t' denote a period in which the famine affected marital behavior. We will use $\Delta \Pi$ as our estimates of the causal effect of the famine on the marital behavior of famine affected individuals. Because Π^{t^0} is a complete accounting scheme for marital behavior at time t', it imposes no apriori restriction on marital behavior. $\Delta \Pi$ can be estimated nonparametrically. Thus $\Delta \Pi$ is a consistent estimate of the causal effect of the famine on marital behavior for the famine affected cohorts as long as t and t' are valid control and treatment periods.

If there are changes other than the famine which affect marital behavior between t and t', then $\Delta \Pi$ will be an inconsistent estimate of the causal effects of the famine. There were other social and economic changes between 1982 and 1990, including migration behavior, that may have affected marital behavior between the two periods. We will assume that these other changes are not correlated with ΔM and ΔF, the changes in population supplies due to the famine. As we will show later, the behavior of $\Delta \Pi$ is so different for the pre-famine, famine born and post-famine cohorts, and so closely

13

tied to changes in population supplies caused by the famine, that it will be difficult to imagine other factors causing these changes in marital behavior. We cannot identify level and trend effects of the famine to the extent that these other factors have level and trend effects on marital behavior.

The above is as far as we can go in reduced-form estimation. Tautologically, total gains at time t is a function of population vectors and exogenous parameters at time t:

$$\Pi^t = \kappa(M^t, F^t, \Lambda^t)$$

The famine simultaneously affected population vectors, M^{t^0} and F^{t^0}, and exogenous parameters, Λ^{t^0}, at time t'. Thus:

$$\Delta\Pi = \kappa(M^{t^0}, F^{t^0}, \Lambda^{t^0}) - \kappa(M^t, F^t, \Lambda^t) \tag{7}$$

In order to disentangle observed changes in marital behavior between effects due to changes in marital preference and effects due to population supplies, we need to posit a model for $\kappa(.)$ and to estimate Λ^t and Λ^{t^0}. CS is such a model. In their static model of the marriage market, total gains measures the expected marital gain to a random $\{i, j\}$ pair marrying relative to them not marrying. The thought experiment is as follows. Consider a randomly chosen type i male marrying a randomly chosen type j female. We compare the expected marital output of this randomly chosen couple to the geometric average of what they would expect to obtain if they remained unmarried. So once the individuals are chosen, they can only compare whether to marry or forever remain unmarried. This measure of expected marital gain is unaffected by marriage market conditions because in the thought experiment, we are not choosing the couple based on relative scarcity nor do we allow them to marry other individuals. The model does not impose

14

any apriori structure on the expected marital gain.

Thus in CS, Π^t are exogenous, independent of population vectors, M^t and F^t, or other determinants of marriage market conditions at time t. In other words, CS implies:

$$\Pi^t = \kappa(\Lambda^t) = \Lambda^t \qquad (8)$$

$$\Delta\Pi = \Delta\Lambda \qquad (9)$$

Not only do $\kappa(.)$ not depend on M^t or F^t, it is also the identify function.

$\Delta\Pi$ is always a reduced form estimate of the total effect of the famine on marital behavior. Equation (9) says that the difference in total gains measures exactly the changes marital attractiveness between the two time periods, independent of the changes in population vectors. By using CS or equivalently equation (9) to interpret the estimates of $\Delta\Pi$, we have moved from a reduced form to a structural interpretation.

If we have estimates of Π^t, we can predict what the new marriage distribution $\widehat{\mu}^{t^0}$ will be with new population vectors M^{t^0} and F^{t^0}, and $\Pi^{t^0} = \Pi^t$ for all i and j by solving:

$$\pi_{ij}^t = \ln \frac{\widehat{\mu}_{ij}^{t^0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\mu}_{i0}^{t^0}\widehat{\mu}_{0j}^{t^0}}}; \ i = 1,..,I; j = 1,...J \qquad (10)$$

If the only effect of the famine was to change population supplies between t and t', then $\pi_{ij}^{t^0} = \pi_{ij}^t$ and the marital distribution at time t' should be $\widehat{\mu}^{t^0}$ as in the system of equations (10). The predicted marriage distribution, $\widehat{\mu}^{t^0}$, satisfies the non-trivial accounting constraints described in equations (1) (CSS and Siow (2008)). So the CS model implies that $\widehat{\mu}^{t^0}$ is an estimate of the effects of quantity changes caused by the famine on marital behavior

15

at time t'.

If the new actual marriage distribution, μ^{t^0}, differs from the predicted marriage distribution, $\widehat{\mu}^{t^0}$, $\Delta\Pi \neq 0$. Because total gains completely describe the marriage distribution, the previous statement is always true. Without a model of marital behavior, we do not know how much of $\Delta\Pi$ is due to changes in marital attractiveness, $\Delta\Lambda$, and how much is due to changes in population supplies, ΔM and ΔF. The bite of CS is that it implies that $\Delta\Pi$, the changes in total gains, only measure changes in marital attractiveness, $\Delta\Lambda$, due to the famine.

If the CS model is incorrect, then our decomposition of the causal effects of the famine into quantity and quality changes will be wrong. Because CS is a fully saturated (just identified) model, the validity of CS in this particular application is untestable. CS will not be exactly right. Siow (2009) shows that generalized versions of CS will in general not reduce to (8). Thus CS should be regarded as an approximate model of actual marital behavior.

Decker, et. al. showed that the substitution effects in CS are qualitatively correct: An increase in the supply of one type of individual will weakly decrease (increase) the marriage rates for all types of the same (other) gender.

There is external evidence on the usefulness of total gains as applied here. CS estimated the effects of the legalization of abortion on marital behavior using a difference in differences estimator with across states and time variation in the US. The CS model was used to control for baby boomers entering the marriage market. There, CS showed that total gains fell in states that legalized abortions. More interestingly, CS was able to show that total gains fell for marriage by fertile women and did not fall for non-fertile women. Thus in CS, total gains changed in a behaviorally sensible

16

way.

Finally, we will use the change in total gains to predict future changes in childlessness as a way of testing the external validity of the CS model in our context.

3 Summary Data and Sex Ratios

All the data presented here come from the one percent household sample of the 1982 Census of China and the one percent clustered sample of the 1990 Census of China. Wang (2000) and Mason and Lavely (2001) are useful resources on the details of the censuses and data samples. In our analysis, we only use those data pertaining to individuals who reside in rural counties. This can be rationalized on two grounds: first, the countryside was more affected by the famine than the cities,[15] and second, the rural marriage market was largely self-contained, and highly local in nature.

In the Data Appendix, we discuss how rural is defined, and examine several other data-related issues, including migration. Migration may matter in number of ways for our analysis. First, migration of rural born out of Sichuan, and in-migration into rural Sichuan may bias our estimates of the sex ratios that we use in the construction of our marriage matching functions. Second, some of the migration may have been for marriage.

Unfortunately, the 1982 Census contains no information on migration, and for the 1990 Census the information is limited to migration that occurred between 1985 and 1990. Using the more complete data provided by the 2000 Census, we are able to construct alternative estimates of the sex-ratios based on the rural-born population, including those currently living outside the province. As detailed in the appendix, the differences with the estimates

17

we use are small. Moreover, the bias is fairly similar across the age cohorts that make up our analysis.

Table 1 provides some summary statistics for rural counties in Sichuan from the 1982 and 1990 censuses. The average spousal age differences in the two provinces ranged between two to three years. Any observed spousal age difference is an equilibrium outcome determined by marriage market conditions. Under average marriage market conditions existing in China at the times of the 1982 and 1990 censuses, the average spousal age difference was about three years. Because the censuses collect ages by years, we assume that the customary equilibrium spousal age difference is three years.

The marriage rates for women are higher than that for men in both provinces and both censuses.

High education is defined as completing elementary school. The table shows that less than fifty percent of men or women in rural Sichuan completed elementary school.

The first-order impact of the famine on the marital behavior of individuals would have been on the famine born cohort and their customary spouses. For men who usually marry women three years younger, the customary spouses for the famine born men were the post-famine born women. For famine born women, their customary spouses were the pre-famine born men. Thus, we consider individuals born between 1956 to 1964 to be the famine affected cohorts. We observe the marital behavior of individuals in 1982 and 1990. For convenience, the ages of these individuals in 1990 are given in Table 2.

Our main interest is to examine the behavior of the famine on marital behavior in the 1990 census. The reason for focusing on the 1990 census is that by 1990, the post-famine cohort was 26-28 years old. Most women of

18

that age category and older would have acquired their permanent marital status. Except for 26 and 27 years olds, most men of that age category and older would also have acquired their permanent marital status.

We will use individuals of the same age and characteristics in 1982 as controls for their counterparts in 1990. That is, the control group for post-famine individuals are those who were 26-28 in 1982, the control group for famine born cohort are those who were 29-31 in 1982, and the control group for the pre-famine cohort are those who were 32-34 in 1982. In general, as shown in the immediate table above, individuals in the control groups, of age 26 and older in 1982, were not affected at birth by the famine. There is one year of overlap. Individuals of age 26 in 1982, used as a control group for pre-famine 26 years olds in 1990, are also in the post-famine group in 1990. Whether this year of overlap will affect the results is an empirical issue which will be resolved shortly.

The eighties were a period of active social and economic changes in China, including a marriage reform act of 1981. Most of the social and economic changes in the eighties, including migration, have level and or trend effects.[16] Thus, we need to make a case that it is reasonable to use a first-difference strategy to study the impact of the famine on marital outcomes. We make our case in two steps. First, we will show that in 1982, within a province, marital behavior of the three control groups differed from each other by at most a smooth age trend due to lifecycle effects, i.e., absent smooth lifecyle effects, the marriage behavior of 26-28, 29-31, and 32-34 years olds in 1982 was similar to each other.[17] Conditional on marriage, the distribution of spousal shares were similar for all three cohorts. So there was no difference in the choice of spouses between the three cohorts. Since we are comparing marital behavior by age groups, we are controlling for

19

lifecycle effects in the timing of marriage.

Second, the observed changes in marital behavior in 1990 for the famine affected cohorts follow a distinct non-linear age pattern that coincides with the population changes for those cohorts in 1990. So although there were likely other social and economic changes which affected the marital behavior of these famine affected cohorts, including new off-farm opportunities, migration opportunies and rising incomes, these other changes were primarily level and trend changes which were orthogonal to the changes in population supplies due to the famine which followed a very distinctive non-linear age pattern. We do not know any other social or economic change that followed this distinct age pattern and also only impacted these cohorts.

4   Sichuan

Figure 1 shows the number of individuals by age in rural counties in Sichuan in 1990. The pre-famine cohort, 32-34, were affected by the famine. There were less of them than 35 or 36 years olds. Absent the famine, due to population growth and mortality risk, there should be less older individuals rather than more in a given census year. Thus the 32-34 years olds were adversely affected by the famine.

The famine born cohort, 29-31, is substantially smaller than the adjacent cohorts, reflecting primarily the fall in the birth rates of that cohort. Recovery of the birth rates after the famine was very rapid. There is no visible impact of the famine on cohort sizes after 1964, ages 25 or younger in 1990.

Figure 1 also shows that there were less 35 and 36 years olds than 37 olds, which implies that these cohorts were also affected by the famine. We

do not directly study their marital behavior because of our focus on the marital behavior of the famine born cohort with their adjacent aged peers. The analysis of the famine affected cohorts takes into account that they could and did marry individuals 35 years old and older in 1990.

4.1 Marriage rates

Figure 2 shows two sex ratios by age. The dashed line is the sex ratio of men to women for same age men and women. In general, the sex ratio is slightly larger than one, which will have an effect on the male versus female marriage rates.[18] The famine had little to no impact on the sex ratio. There is little evidence that male children were significantly favored over female children among the famine affected cohorts. The solid line is the sex ratio by women's age where the men were three years older than the women. Here, the effects of the famine are very clear. The sex ratio was above 2.5 for famine born women because there were relatively more pre-famine born men. Also the sex ratio fell to 0.25 for post-famine born women because there was a relative scarcity of famine born men. If individuals valued the customary age of marriage, there should have been large marriage market effects on the famine affected cohorts.

Figure 3 plots the marriage rates for men and women by age in 1990 and 1982. First, the marriage rates for women in 1982 were similar for all three control age groups (26-28,29-31,32-34). There is no evidence that the age pattern of customary sex ratios in Figure 2 had any impact on the marriage rates of these women in 1982. The 26 years olds in 1982, which overlapped with the famine affected cohorts in 1990, do not display any unusual behavior in 1982. Thus the female marriage rates in 1982 provide

no evidence against using 1982 as a control group for 1990 behavior.

In both census years, 1982 and 1990, and at all ages, female marriage rates exceed 0.95. For women younger than age 40, marriage rates for women of the same age were essentially the same in 1990 and 1982. In other words, the famine affected women in 1990 had the same marriage rates as their same age peers in 1982. Figure 2 earlier showed that the famine born women were in relative scarcity and the post-famine women were in relative surplus when compared to their customary spouses. This strongly suggests that the famine affected women also married non-customary spouses and that these substitutions to a first order left the marriage rates of famine affected women unchanged.

In general, the male marriage rates in both 1982 and 1990 were lower than the female marriage rates, consistent with the sex ratio being larger than one in rural Sichuan.

In 1982, the marriage rates for men followed a relatively smooth concave upward trend with age, with a small flattening out at age 30. There is no unusual movement at age 26, the year of overlap. There is no evidence that the age pattern of customary sex ratios in Figure 2 had any impact on the marriage rates of these men in 1982. Thus the male marriage rates in 1982 provide no evidence against using 1982 as a control group for 1990 behavior.

In 1990, the marriage rates for famine affected men were different from unaffected cohorts. The marriage rates of post-famine and famine born men were higher than their older peers. Compared with 1982 men of the same ages, the marriage rates of pre-famine born men in 1990 were not significantly different. Compared with 1982 men of the same ages, the marriage rates of famine and post-famine born men in 1990 were significantly higher. Thus, both across age comparisons in 1990, and across years comparisons

22

suggest that the marriage rates of famine and post-famine born men were positively affected by the famine.

Based on marriage rates between 1990 and 1982, a tentative conclusion is that the marriage rates of famine affected women in 1990 were unchanged. The marriage rates of pre-famine born men were unaffected whereas the marriage rates of famine born and post-famine born men increased in 1990. These conclusions are summarized in Figure 4. They are also consistent with the findings in Almond et. al. The marriage rates of famine born men increased by less than 5 percent compared to their 1982 peers. The marriage rates of post-famine born men increased by substantially more, 5 to 15 percent more than their 1982 peers. But famine born men are scarce. It is therefore surprising that the increase in their marriage rates was so modest.

A caveat is necessary. While it is tempting to interpret the difference in male marriage rates for the post and famine born cohorts as due to the famine as we do above, the case for such an interpretation is weak. The comparison of the female marriage rates showed no difference between treatment and control cohorts. There was no difference between post-famine treatment and control for men. The difference between treatment and control for both post and famine born men was in the same direction. Thus what we observed is a shift in marital behavior for young men in 1990. Other social economic changes could have also affected the marital behavior of these young men in 1990, most notably, rising family incomes with the implementation of rural reforms in the late 1970s. The divergence in marriage rates for post-famine males grew as individuals were born further away from the famine years, contrary to the expectation that the effects of the famine were less for individuals born further away from the famine years. Thus in addition to

famine effects, there surely were other shocks which also affected the marital behavior between 1982 and 1990.

4.2 Marriage shares

To set the stage, it is convenient to have an idea of what customary marital shares were. Figure 5 plots the distributions of husbands by spousal age differences for women who were 33, 30 and 27 in 1982. Because they were born substantially before the famine, the marital behavior of 1982 women of those ages should have been unaffected by the famine. We differentiate husbands by their age gaps over their wives, from -3 years to +6 years. Husbands within these 10 years ages interval account for 83-96% of all husbands. The figure shows that there is essentially no difference in the distributions of husbands by spousal age differences for these different aged women in 1982. The marital behavior of 1982 women of those ages was unaffected by the famine; and their spousal choices as represented by spousal age differences did not change with their age in 1982.

The 27 years olds in 1982, which are one-year removed from the overlap cohort of age 26, did not display any unusual behavior in 1982. Figure 5 is the strong case for using the 1982 cohorts as the control cohorts.

Turning to the effects of the famine, Figure 6 shows the marital partners of three age cohorts of women in 1990: 27 (post-famine born), 30 (famine born) and 33 (pre-famine born). First consider 33 years old women who were born before the famine. Since women generally marry older men, Figure 2 tells us that the customary husbands of these women are not scarce. The largest share of husbands was two years older. For 33 years old women in 1990, the age distribution of their spouses looks the same as their same age

24

peers in 1982 in Figure 5.

30 years old women were famine born women. They are scarce relative to their customary husbands. Compared with the shares of 33 years old women, their marriage shares distribution shifted to the right. Although they could replicate the share distribution of the pre-famine women because they were scarce relative to older men, more of them married older husbands.

27 years old women were born after the famine. They suffer a relative scarcity of customary husbands. As shown in the figure, their marriage share distribution is almost symmetric around age gap $[-2, 2]$. It flattens out between age gap 2 to 4 and then increases. Thus, post-famine women married a much larger share of own age or younger men, and also significantly older men. The share of husbands in the age gap $[-2, 2]$ was 0.83. Figure 6 shows that in 1990, the distributions of husbands by spousal age differences were significantly different between pre-famine born, famine born and post-famine born women.

Using the behavior of women in 1982 as control groups, Figure 7 plots the ratio of 1990 husbands' shares to 1982 shares for 27, 30 and 33 years old women. If there is no difference in shares between 1982 and 1990 for the same age women, then the ratio should be 1. Consider the case of 33 years old women. The ratio of shares are slightly above 1 for age gap between [0,4]. In both 1982 and 1990, most of the husbands of these 33 years old women fell in the age gap between [0,4] years. The ratio of shares are lower than 1 below [0,4]. This says that in the [0,4] range, pre-famine born women in 1990 had the same relative distribution of husbands by spousal age differences as their 1982 counterparts. But pre-famine women in 1990 had substantially less younger husbands outside the range. Less younger husbands can be explained by the relative scarcity of famine born men.

25

Famine born women in 1990, age 30, behaved very differently from their 1982 counterparts. They were far more likely to marry older men and far less likely to marry men of the same age or younger.

Post-famine women in 1990, age 27, also behaved very differently from their 1982 counterparts. They were far more likely to marry same age or younger men, also pre-famine born men, and far less likely to marry famine born men. So here, post-famine women avoided the scarce famine born men. What is interesting is their increased demand for substantially older, pre-famine born men. Both 27 and 30 years old women in 1990 had relatively more demand for significantly older men.

Taken as a whole, Figure 7 shows that different cohorts of famine affected women responded differently in their spousal choices. Consistent with Almond et. al. and Porter, there is a small increase in the average spousal age gap for the famine affected cohorts relative to their 1982 peers. However this average effect masks the heterogeneous responses by the different famine affected cohorts. It is also important to note that Figure 7 generates a pattern of responses for the famine affected cohorts that would be hard to rationalize based on other social and economic changes which occured in China.

4.3 Total gains

To preview what we will find, recall that marriages rates of famine born women were the same as their pre and post-famine born peers. The marriage rates of famine born men were lower than their post-famine born peers. But famine born men and women are relatively scarce in the marriage market. Let j denote the cohort of famine born women and i denote their customary

26

spouses. To a first order, o_j^t did not change and j type women are scarce relative to type i men. $s_{i|j}^t$ must fall and by equation (5), π_{ij}^t must fall.

To investigate the change in total gains for famine affected cohorts, we first consider total gains of individuals who were born before the famine. Figure 8 shows total gains for 27, 30 and 33 years old women and their spouses from -3 years to +6 years older in 1982. Total gains for 30 and 33 years old women and their spouses were similar in 1982. Total gains for 27 years old women, while similar in shape, were lower than the other two age cohorts. This is expected because the marriage rate for 27 years old women were lower than the other two age cohorts. Thus it is reasonable to use the 1982 individuals as control groups for their same age 1990 peers.

Figure 9 plots total gains of three age cohorts of women in 1990, 27 (post-famine born), 30 (famine born) and 33 (pre-famine born) and their husbands. Starting with pre-famine born 33 years old women and their spouses, total gains is a smooth concave function in husband's age gap. Total gains from [0,4] were relatively similar. Total gains of post-famine born 27 years old women and their spouses were in general similar to the pre-famine women. Where they differ, and total gains were lower for post-famine women, were with husbands between 1 to 3 years older. For post-famine born women, these husbands were famine born men. Thus, marrying famine born men resulted in lower total gains to marriage relative to the pre-famine women with spouses 1 to 3 years older.

Total gains for famine born women, age 30, and their spouses were significantly lower than that of pre and post-famine born women. Figure 3 shows that the marriage rates of famine born women were similar to pre and post-famine women. There are some small differences in the marriage rates of the husbands (measured by age gaps) of famine born and other famine af-

27

fected women. But there were large differences in the customary marriage sex ratios as shown in Figure 2. These large differences in the customary marriage sex ratios should have resulted in significantly different marriage rates for famine born and other famine affected women. But because the marriage rates for all the famine affected women were roughly the same in spite of large differences in customary marital sex ratios, total gains for the famine born women had to be lower than the other famine affected women.

Figure 10 presents the difference in total gains between 1990 and 1982 for the same age women and their spouses. The differences in total gains were negative for the famine born women, age 30, for all spousal ages. The difference in total gains for 33 years old women between the two censuses was mostly a little larger than zero. It was negative for marriages with famine born husbands. The difference in total gains for 27 years old women were largely above zero. It dipped to zero for famine born husbands. Both pre and post-famine born women primarily had larger total gains from marriage than their same age 1982 counterparts unless they married famine born husbands. The famine born women and their spouses had total gains that were lower than their 1982 counterparts. This shows that the famine had a significant, concentrated negative effect on famine born cohorts, both men and women.

Finally, the non-linear heterogenous changes in total gains for famine affected cohorts are hard to explain by other factors which may have also affected the marriage market between the two periods.

4.4 Quantity and quality

So far, our discussion was descriptive. Taken together, the first differences in the three statistics strongly suggest that the famine had substantial effects on the marital behavior of the famine affected cohorts. The change in marital behavior for the pre, post and famine born cohorts are sufficiently different from each other that it is difficult to explain these first difference changes by other social economic factors.

How important are quantity versus quality effects? We will first argue that it is implausible that the observed total effects of the famine are due exclusively to one or the other effect.

Figure 7, which plots the ratio of 1990/1982 marriage shares of husbands by his age gap, provides strong evidence for the importance of quantity effects. Figure 7 shows that famine born women were able to marry a larger share of husbands of customary age than the 1982 same aged wives. The famine born wives also avoided their share of same-aged husbands compared to 1982 same-aged peers. In addition, Figure 7 shows that post-famine wives also married a lower share of famine born husbands compared with their same aged peers. So both famine born and post-famine wives relatively avoided famine born husbands. Yet the marriage rates of famine born men were higher than their 1982 peers. The relative scarcity of famine born men and women reconciles this set of disparate observations.

On the other hand, it is difficult to explain the differences in total gains in Figure 10 with quantity effects alone. If famine born women were relatively scarce, and there was no change in the marital attractiveness of these women, it is hard to explain why the difference in total gains was negative for famine born women, age 30, for all spousal ages.

Thus the changes in marital shares and total gains suggest that both quantity and quality effects are important in explaining the change in marital behavior of the famine affected cohorts. The next step is to quantify the importance of the two factors.

in relatively short supply in the marriage market. To quantify the effect of the changes in quantities on marital behavior, we use the 1982 CS structural estimates to predict what the marriage distribution in 1990 would have been with 1990 population vectors and 1982 estimated parameters (i.e. $\widehat{\mu}_{ij}^{t^0}$ using equation (10)). We also make a similar 1990 prediction using the harmonic mean MMF with 1982 estimated parameters.

Figures 11a and 11b show for Sichuan the predicted male and female marriage rates from the two models respectively. For both genders, the predicted marriage rates from the harmonic mean MMF often exceed 1, an inadmissible prediction. These violations occur because the harmonic mean MMF does not impose required general equilibrium accounting identities, ignores substitution effects, and the changes in sex ratios of customary spousal age differences were large. Thus as previous researchers have observed, the standard MMF used by demographers is a poor empirical MMF.

On the other hand, the predicted marriage rates from the CS MMF behave sensibly. In Figures 11a and 11b, the predicted marriage rates are above average for the famine born cohorts and below average for the adjacent aged birth cohorts. No accounting constraint is violated. Note that actual female marriage rates were over 0.95 for most ages. Even with large changes in sex ratios of the customary spousal age differences for the famine born cohorts, their predicted marriage rates remained below 1. The predicted female marriage rates for famine born cohorts were very similar to those predicted for adjacent aged cohorts. In other words, the CS MMF respects both the general equilibrium accounting constraints of MMFs and also captures the flexibility of individuals in their marital choices. These two attributes show the advantage of the CS MMF over the harmonic mean MMF.

In Figure 11a, famine born and post-famine males had lower marriage rates than predicted by CS. Pre famine born males had higher marriage rates than predicted by CS. Changes in relative scarcities of the different types of individuals caused by the famine cannot explain these discrepancies. The famine must have also changed marital attractiveness to marriage for these cohorts.

Figure 11b shows that the discrepancies between predicted and actual female marriage rates were small. CS is able to generate predicted male marriage rates that were highly responsive to changes in population supplies and female marriage rates that were marginally responsive. It is clear that changes in population supplies alone cannot explain the observed changes in marriage rates. We also need to account for changes in marital attractiveness of the famine affected cohorts.

Figure 11c shows the ratio of actual to predicted marriage shares for women in 1990 by the age gaps of their husbands. Consider first the marriage shares of 39 years old famine born women. Their actual shares of own age and pre-famine born husbands exceed the predicted shares. The actual shares were more concentrated among these husbands than were predicted by changes in population vectors alone. In other words, drop in marital attractiveness of the famine born cohort led them to marry even more of their own type. Due to adding up constraints in the marriage market, (1), when actual shares are different from predicted shares for one cohort, they also must be different for other cohorts. This shows up for pre-famine born 33 years old women. Their actual shares of own age and older husbands also exceed the predicted shares. Finally for post-famine born 27 years old women, the actual shares of own age husbands exceed the predicted shares and the reverse occurs for famine born husbands. This is evidence that

32

post-famine born women "avoided" famine born husbands.

Figures 10, 11a, 11b and 11c provide a unified summary of the effects of the famine on the marital behavior of famine affected cohorts. Figure 10 shows that the relative marital output of famine born cohorts fell substantially. By itself, the drop in relative marital output would have substantially reduced the marriage rates of the famine born cohorts. The famine also substantially reduced the relative supply of the famine born cohorts. Figures 11a and 11b show that these reductions would have substantially changed the marriage rates of the famine affected cohorts. To a first order, the simultaneous changes in quantities and qualities cancelled each other out and resulted in small changes in marriage rates for the famine affected cohorts. If marital output did not change, Figure 11c shows that famine born women would have been less likely to marry, and post-famine born women would have been more likely to marry famine born men than what they did.

4.5   Education effects

Since the famine had a negative impact on the health and human capital endowments of famine born individuals (see Almond et. al. (2007), Gorgens et. al. (2005), St. Clair et. al. (2005), Luo, Mu and Zhang (2006)), their educational attainment may have been affected. This may enable us to use the change in educational attainment of that cohort to proxy for their drop in marital attractiveness, thereby explaining their drop in total gains to marriage.

Figure 12 shows the fraction of women who had less than a primary school education by age. Not surprising, in both the 1990 and 1982 censuses, the fraction grew with the age of the women. It is difficult to see the change

33

in educational attainment at the levels for the famine born cohort. So it is unlikely that the change in educational attainment of the famine born cohort will be able to explain the changes in total gains to marriage of that cohort.

Figure 13 shows the log difference (growth rate) of the fraction of women who had less than a primary school education by age. In the 1982 census, the growth rate fell rapidly by age for women below age 35. Such a decline in the growth rate should be expected if women increased their educational attainment over time. In the 1990 census, the growth rate also fell by age for women above age 32. For women between ages 28 and 32, the growth rate of the fraction of women with less than a primary school eduction formed a valley with a bottom at age 30. Based on deviations from trend growth, the famine affected cohorts had less education than they would otherwise have. Although we do not present the results here, the results for male educational attainment are similar. Figure 13 raises the possibility that changes in the growth rate of education of the famine affected cohorts may be able to explain some of the fall in total gains to marriage of those cohorts.

Denote individuals with more than a primary education as high education and those with a primary education or less as low education. Figure 14 shows the ratio of 1990 to 1982 female marriage rates by age and education. High education women were less likely to marry in 1990 than in 1982 compared with their low education peers. For high educated famine born women (age 30), the ratio is slightly higher than their adjacent aged peers. For low educated famine born women, the ratio is slightly lower than their adjacent aged peers. These small differences in marriage rates suggest that high educated famine born women may have fared better than their low education counterparts.

34

To evaluate the overall effects of the changes in educational attainment on marital behavior, we estimate total gains π_{ij}^{1982} for every $\{i,j\}$ match where a type is defined by the individual's age and education (high versus low). We then use the estimated total gains, π_{ij}^{1982}, to predict the marriage distribution in 1990, $\widehat{\mu}_{ij}^{1990}$, due to changes in population vectors alone. Figure 15 plots the ratio of the own predicted gender marriage rates in 1990 to the actual marriage rates in 1990 by age, $\widehat{r}_g^t(r_g^t)^{-1}$, $g = i,j$.

Figure 15, where predictions depend on age and education, looks remarkably similar to Figure 8 where the predictions only depend on age. In other words, the change in educational attainment of the famine born cohort did not have a first-order impact on predicted marriage rates.

To examine this more closely, Figure 16 plots 1990-1982 total gains for marriages with two high educated spouses. Total gains for post-famine women were higher than their 1982 peers. But note that relative total gains for post-famine married women fell as the age of their husbands increased from own age to famine born husbands. So famine born men also had lower total gains. Total gains for famine born and pre-famine women were slightly lower than their 1982 peers. Figure 17 plots total gains for marriages with two low educated spouses. Total gains for pre and post-famine women were higher than their 1982 peers. On the other hand, total gains for famine born women were substantially lower than their 1982 peers. Figures 16 and 17 suggest that among famine born women, low educated women suffered a larger drop in total gains than high educated women.

5   Predicting childlessness

As discussed in the methodolgy section, marriage matching data from the two census cannot be used to test the internal validity of the CS model. Equivalently, CS has no over-identifying restriction. If CS is false, our first-difference methodology will generate changes in total gains independent of any actual changes in marital output. We argued that the observed changes in total gains for the famine-affected cohorts are consistent with a fall in marital output for the famine affected cohorts. This argument is based on our interpretation of the data and not a test of the model's internal validity. With no change in marital output, non-CS models can generate changes in total gains as a result of changes in population vectors between two time periods.[19]

The objective of this section is to test the external validity of the CS model in our context. Since marriage matching data alone cannot be used to test the model, we will use other data to test CS. Many studies have shown that the famine-affected cohorts suffered adverse health outcomes. Ill health will reduce marital output of that couple. To the extent that cohort-specific lower marital outputs due to the famine were observed and/or anticipated before marriage, total gains as constructed in this paper should predict post-marital ill health outcomes.

An extreme negative marital outcome is infertility in the marriage. In the 1982 and 1990 census, each woman was asked how many children did they give birth to. We define childlessness of $\{i, j\}$ matches in year t, C_{ij}^t, as the logarithmic fraction of those married couples who reported not having given birth as of the time of the census. Childlessness is not the same as infertility because a childless couple may have children in the future. Thus

C_{ij}^t depends on behavioral considerations as well as infertility.

We use C_{ij}^t as a proxy for low marital output in $\{i, j\}$ marriages at time t. Infertility is an extreme health outcome which affects a small fraction of married couples. For both census years, less than 2% of married women at each age between 30 and 50 reported having no birth.[20] It is only observed after the marriage. Thus while marriage market participants cared about infertility, it is unlikely that they could have accurately predicted infertility among potential spouses. What they were more likely to be able to observe at the time of the marriage decision was the general health and socioeconomic well being of their potential spouses. Consistent with the empirical evidence on childhood or fetal malnutrition and later reproductive success, we assume that infertility was negatively related to adult good health and high socioeconomic well being.

There are several determinants of the level of childlessness and changes in childlessness between the two censuses. (i) From a level perspective (within a census), childlessness is affected by life-cyle considerations. (ii) Between the two censuses, changes in childlessness may also be affected by other social and economic changes that occurred between 1982 and 1990. (iii) Holding marital output constant, changes in childlessness may be affected by changes in marriage market conditions which affect intra-household resource allocations. Rasul 2006, Ashraf et. al. 2010, and others have shown that husbands prefer to have more children than their wives. So an increase in the sex ratio (ratio of men to women) will weakly increase childlessness. (iv) Childlessness is affected by infertility, ill health and/or poor socioeconomic outcome for the married couple.

We are interested in studying how changes in total gains, as a measure of changes in marital output, predict future childlessness. So we are interested

in the effect of the fourth factor discussed in the previous paragraph. In order to do that, we have to control for the first three factors in the previous paragraph. Our empirical work proceeds in two steps. First, holding factors (i), (ii) and (iii) constant, following Almond et. al., we show that a more severe famine effect, as measured by lower population sizes for the $\{i, j\}$ marital matches, resulted in more childlessness for couples in $\{i, j\}$ matches. Second, holding factors (i), (ii) and (iii) constant, we investigate whether an increase in $\{i, j\}$ total gains reduces childlessness in $\{i, j\}$ marriages. Finally, we compare, with and without holding factors (i), (ii) and (iii) constant, whether changes in total gains have more predictive power than changes in population sizes in predicting changes in childlessness.

Using samples from two different age ranges, we regress the difference in childlessness between the two census years, $\Delta C_{ij}^t = C_{ij}^{90} - C_{ij}^{82}$, on differences in total gains, $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$, differences in sex ratio, $\Delta SR_{ij}^t = \Delta(\ln m_i^t - \ln f_j^t)$, differences in population, $\Delta P_{ij}^t = \Delta \ln(m_i^t + f_j^t)$, and unrestricted quadratic functions in spousal ages.[21] The first difference estimation strategy controls for variation in childlessness due to lifecycle behavior, factor (i) above. We include an intercept in all the regressions to control for a common time effect which affects all $\{i, j\}$ pairs across the two censuses. The unrestricted quadratic in ages controls for smooth changes by spousal ages in childlessness which may be due to other social and economic changes between 1982 and 1990, factor (ii) above.[22] Changes in the sex ratio, ΔSR_{ij}^t, control for changes in marriage market conditions which may affect the intrahousehold allocation of resources, factor (iii) above. To proxy for changes in marital output, we use two different measures, differences in total gains, $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$, and differences in population, ΔP_{ij}^t. Differences in population have been used in this literature to proxy for changes in the health status of the famine

38

affected cohorts (E.g. Almond, et. al.). An increase in ΔP_{ij}^t is an increase in health in 1990 relative to 1982.

To be included in our samples, an $\{i, j\}$ cell had to have non-missing ΔC_{ij}^t and $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ values. It is important to note that if every $\{i, j\}$ couple in the census in year t reports at least one birth, then C_{ij}^t is undefined because we are using the log of the fraction of couples without children. So ΔC_{ij}^t is defined only if there is at least one incidence of childlessness in each census year. This censoring is more prevalent in sparce $\{i, j\}$ marriage cells.[23]

Our first sample consists of spouses between the ages of 18 to 50. To focus more closely on the famine affected cohorts in 1990, our second sample consists of spouses between the ages of 25 to 40. Table C0 provides the summary statistics for the two samples. There were 419 observations in the first sample. The mean of ΔC_{ij}^t is -0.403 implying that childlessness fell between 1982 and 1990. Over the same period, total gains, π_{ij}^t, increased by an average of 0.126 while the proportion of males fell, and the total population increased.

For the sample with the narrower age range, there are 149 observations. The mean of ΔC_{ij}^t was more negative than with the larger sample. This means that the changes in childlessness with the famine affected cohorts in 1990 were larger than the excluded cohorts which is to be expected if the famine negatively affected fertility. The standard deviation of ΔC_{ij}^t is also greater than in the larger sample. The larger dispersion in childlessness–even though the age range is narrower–suggests that something other than life-cycle factors affected childlessness for the smaller age range sample. Consistent with the famine, the mean of ΔP_{ij}^t is smaller than in the larger sample.

Figures C1 and C2 use the larger sample. Figure C1 shows the plot of ΔC_{ij}^t on the age of the woman, j. The dispersion of points for each age j is

39

due to the ages of the husbands. Figure C1 shows that there is variation in ΔC_{ij}^t by j which is not captured by a linear or quadratic function of j.

Figure C2 shows the plot of ΔC_{ij}^t against $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$. The line is a simple linear regression through the points. In general, there is a negative relationship between the change in childlessness and the change in total gains. As discussed above, there are two other factors, (ii) and (iii), which are not controlled for in Figure 2.

Table C1 presents estimates of OLS regression of ΔC_{ij}^t on ΔSR_{ij}^t, ΔP_{ij}^t, and age effects. The rationale for the regressions is to show that there is evidence that factors (ii) to (iv) are operative. Our first sample consists of marriages in which the spouses were between 18 and 50 years of age. There were 434 observations out of a potential 1089 observations.[24] Column (1) includes only two covariates, ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t. The point estimate for ΔSR_{ij}^t is 0.139 with a standard error of 0.044. So there is evidence that marriage market tightness matters, with an increase in the sex ratio of 1% increasing childlessness by 0.14%. The sign of the point estimate is consistent with the finding in other papers that wives generally prefer less children than their husbands. The point estimate for ΔP_{ij}^t is -0.190 with a standard error of 0.093. This point estimate provides evidence that an increase in the population by 1% decreased childlessness by 0.19% which supports the hypothesis that the famine had negative health and fertility effects for the famine affected cohorts. Since there is population growth in general across the two censuses, as well as other social and economic changes between the two censuses which are not age neutral, Column (2) adds an unrestricted quadratic function of spousal ages to the regression. The point estimate for ΔSR_{ij}^t is 0.139, which is unchanged from that in column (1). The standard error is 0.050 which is slightly larger than in

column (1). The point estimate for ΔP_{ij}^t is -0.226 with a standard error of 0.107, which is roughly the same as in column (1). Thus adding age effects does not change either the quantitative or qualitative importance of ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t in determining changes in childlessness.

To focus more narrowly on the famine affected cohorts in the 1990 census, our second sample restricted the spousal ages to lie between 25 and 40 years of age. Now we have 149 observations. Column (3) includes only two covariates, ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t. The point estimate for ΔSR_{ij}^t was 0.224 with a standard error of 0.109. Now a 1% increase in the sex ratio increases childlessness by 0.224%, which is larger than the estimate from the smaller sample. The standard error doubled which is not surprising given the smaller sample. The point estimate for ΔP_{ij}^t was -0.141 with a standard error of 0.199. The point estimate remains negative and roughly of the same magnitude as that in the larger sample. However this point estimate is estimated less precisely. Column (4) adds an unrestricted quadratic function in spousal ages. While the point estimates are qualitatively similar to those in the earlier columns, the precision of the estimates was reversed from that in column (3).

In summary, the regressions in table C1 show that changes in marriage market tightness, and changes in health of the married cohorts (as proxied by changes in population size) affect changes in childlessness. Adding age controls to the regressions do not change the point estimates of ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t significantly but increased their standard errors.

Table C2 presents estimates of OLS regression of ΔC_{ij}^t on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ and other covariates. There is no age effects in Table C2. Columns (1) to (4) used the larger age range sample. Column (1) includes only $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$. The point estimate is -0.362 and the standard error is 0.054. A 1% increase

in the change in total gains (more than two standard deviations) lowered the change in childlessness by 0.36%, which is slightly less than half the standard deviation of ΔC_{ij}^t. The negative point estimate is consistent with our interpretation that ΔC_{ij}^t is a good proxy for the change in marital output between the two censuses. By comparing R^2, $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ by itself explains more than twice the variation in ΔC_{ij}^t than ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t did in column (1) of Table C1.

Column (2) adds ΔSR_{ij}^t to the regression. The point estimate on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ and its standard error barely changes from that in column (1).The point estimate on ΔSR_{ij}^t is 0.144 and the standard error is 0.043, which are comparable to that in column (1) in Table C1. So whether we control for "health changes" via $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ or ΔP_{ij}^t, the effect of changes in ΔSR_{ij}^t on ΔC_{ij}^t is similar. This evidence supports the hypothesis that when wives have more bargaining power in marriage, they are likely to demand less children. Column (3) includes $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ and ΔP_{ij}^t as covariates. The point estimate and standard error on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ are similar to that from the first two columns. The point estimate on ΔP_{ij}^t has the wrong sign and the estimated standard error is large. Column (4) includes $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$, ΔSR_{ij}^t and ΔP_{ij}^t as covariates. Now the point estimate on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ is more negative and the point estimate on ΔP_{ij}^t is positive and statistically insignificant at the 5% significance level. As a health or marital output indicator, the point estimate on ΔP_{ij}^t has the wrong sign. Since both $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ or ΔP_{ij}^t are health indicators, the estimated wrong sign on ΔP_{ij}^t is probably due to collinearity. Still, the results in columns (3) and (4) suggest that $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ is a better indicator of changes in marital output caused by the famine than ΔP_{ij}^t.

Columns (5) to (8) use the smaller sample to repeat the same regressions as columns (1) to (4). In general, the estimated coefficients on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ are

42

more negative. Although the estimated standard errors are larger, the point estimates are all statistically significant at the 5% significance level if not lower. The point estimates on ΔSR_{ij}^t remains positive but the standard errors are larger. The point estimates on ΔP_{ij}^t continue to have the wrong signs and the standard errors remain large.

Table C3 adds unrestricted spousal age quadratics as covariates to the regressions in Table C2. Comparing the R^2's between Table C2 and C3, age effects explain a significant amount of variation in ΔC_{ij}^t. With the larger sample, the point estimates and standard errors on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ and ΔSR_{ij}^t remain similar. The point estimate on ΔP_{ij}^t becomes positive (wrong signed) and statistically significant. With the smaller sample in columns (5) to (8), the point estimates for $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ remain negative but is only statistically different from zero at the 5% significance level in columns (5) and (6). The estimated coefficients on ΔP_{ij}^t and ΔSR_{ij}^t are imprecise.

Summarizing the results in Tables C2 and C3, age effects explain a significant amount of variation in ΔC_{ij}^t. The variation that is explained is largely orthogonal to that explained by the other covariates. With or wihout age effects, the point estimates on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ are consistently between -0.3 to -0.5 when ΔP_{ij}^t (the other health proxy) is excluded. The point estimates are usually statistically different from zero at the 5% significance level or lower. Together with the results in Table C1, the results strongly suggest that changes in total gains are good predictors of changes in childlessness due to the famine, even after controlling for age varying time effects, changes in marriage market tightness, and even when changes in total population are included in the regressions.

We investigated the robustness of our results by:

(i) using an alternative definition of $\Delta P_{ij}^t = \Delta(\ln(m_{ij}^t) - \ln(f_{ij}^t))$.

(ii) using an alternative definition of ΔC_{ij}^t where C_{ij}^t is measured in level rather than in log. In this case, we include cells in which $C_{ij}^t = 0$. This altenative defintion increased the sample sizes by about 50%.

(iii) weighted the observations in the regressions by the number of marriages in each cell. This weighting scheme gives more weight to more common types of marriages.

In all three robustness investigations, the point estimates on $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ are consistently negative. The t statistics are approximately the same in (i) and (ii). The standard errors are larger in (iii) because there is less variation in $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ when μ_{ij}^t is large. The point estimates and standard errors for ΔP_{ij}^t and ΔSR_{ij}^t were also similar to those reported here.

The evidence in this section provides external validity to the CS measure of $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ as a change in marital output between the two period. We have shown that variations in population vectors as proxied by ΔSR_{ij}^t affected ΔC_{ij}^t. If CS is largely incorrect, then $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ will contain both changes in marital output as well as changes in population vectors. Our empirical finding that our point estimates of the effect of $\Delta \pi_{ij}^t$ on ΔC_{ij}^t are largely independent of variation in ΔSR_{ij}^t suggests that CS is largely correct.

6 Conclusion

This paper has three conclusions, one substantive, one methodological and the third which is a mixture of both. First, there were little changes in the marriage rates of the famine born cohorts relative to their adjacent aged peers in 1990 or same age peers in 1982. To a first approximation, our decomposition shows that the benefit that the famine born cohort derived from their relative scarcity is offset by their decline in marital attractiveness.

Thus the main substantive conclusion of this paper is that the small observed changes in marriage rates of the famine born cohorts are due to a substantial decline in their marital attractiveness.

Our main methodological conclusion is that allowing for general equilibrium effects and estimating heterogenous treatment effects are important because the pre-famine born, famine born and post-famine born cohorts experienced very different but linked outcomes. Ignoring these effects in estimation will obscure the losses in the marriage market experienced by the famine born.

Finally, the third conclusion is that we provide evidence of external validity of the CS measure of total gains as a measure of marital output. Variations in total gains between the two censuses predicted variations in future childlessness of those marital matches. Substantively, we add to the evidence that early nutritional deprivation due to a famine affects adult childlessness.

References

Akabayashi, Hideo. "Who suffered from the superstition in the marriage market? The case of Hinoeuma in Japan." Faculty of Economics, Keio University. December 26, 2006

Almond, Douglas; Lena Edlund, Hongbin Li, Junsen Zhang. "Long-term effects of the 1959-1961 China Famine: Mainland China and Hong Kong", NBER working paper. September 10, 2007

Angrist, Joshua, "How do Sex Ratios Affect Marriage and Labor Markets? Evidence from America's Second Generation," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (2002), 997-1038.

Ashraf, Nava; Erica Field and Jean N. Lee. "Household Bargaining and Excess Fertility: An Experimental Study in Zambia". September 10, 2010.

Ashton, Basil, Kenneth Hill, Alan Piazza and Robin Zeitz, "Famine in China, 1958-1961," *Population and Development Review*, 10.4 (December 1984), pp. 613-645.

Barker, D.J.P., editor, *Fetal and Infant Origins of Adult Disease*. British Medical Journal. London. 1992.

Becker, Jasper. *Hungry Ghosts: China's Secret Famine*. John Murray. London. 1996.

Bergstrom, Theodore C. and David A. Lam, "The Effects of Cohort Size on Marriage-Markets in Twentieth-Century Sweden," In *The Family, the Market, and the State in Ageing Societies*, edited by J. Ermisch and N. Ogawa, (Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press,Reprint No. 454, 1994).

Bhrolchain, Maire Ni . "Flexibility in the Marriage Market", *Population: An English Selection*, Vol. 13, No. 2. (2001), pp. 9-47.

Botticini, Maristella and Aloysius Siow. 2008. "Are there increasing

returns in marriage markets?" University of Toronto working paper, `http://repec.economics.utoronto.ca/files/tecipa-333.pdf`

Brainerd, Elizabeth. "Uncounted Costs of World War II: The Effect of Changing Sex Ratios on Marriage and Fertility of Russian Women", Economics Department, Williams College, May 2006

Chan, Kam Wing, "Urbanization and Rural-Urban Migration in China since 1982: A New Baseline", *Modern China*, 1994, volume 20, no. 3, pp. 243-81.

Chen, Yuyu and Li-An Zhou, "The Long-Term Health and Economic Consequences of the 1959-61 Famine in China", *Journal of Health Economics.* 26 (2007), 659-681.

Chiappori, Pierre-Andre, Bernard Salanie, Avi Tillman and Yoram Weiss. in process. "Assortative Matching on the Marriage Market: A Structural Investigation".

Choo, Eugene and Aloysius Siow (CS). "Who Marries Whom and Why." *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(1), February 2006, 175-201.

Choo, Eugene and Aloysius Siow 2006a. "Estimating a marriage matching model with spillover effects", *Demography*, 43(3), August 2006, 463-488.

Choo, Eugene, Shannon Seitz and Aloysius Siow (CSS). "Marriage matching, risk sharing and spousal labor supplies," University of Toronto working paper, `http://repec.economics.utoronto.ca/files/tecipa-332.pdf`

Dagsvik, John K. "Aggregation in Matching Markets." *International Economic Review* 41, no. 1. 2000. 27-57.

Decker, Colin, Eliot Lieb, Robert McCann and Ben Stephens. 2010. Existence, uniqueness and substitution effects in the Choo-Siow marriage matching model. University of Toronto manuscript. `http://www.math.toronto.edu/mccann/papers/DLMS.pdf`

Elias, Sjoerd G., et. al.. Childhood exposure to the 1944–1945 Dutch famine and subsequent female reproductive function. *Human Reproduction* Vol.20, No.9 p. 2483–2488, 2005.

Esteve i , Albert and Anna Cabré. "Marriage squeeze and changes in family formation: Historical Comparative evidence in Spain, France, and the United States in the Twentieth Century". Population Association of America 2004 Annual Meeting Program.

Fan, C. Cindy and Yougin Huang, "Waves of Rural Brides: Female Marriage Migration in China." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers.* 88.2, 1998, pp. 227-251.

Francis, Andrew M.. "Sex Ratios and the effect of the red dragaon: Using the Chinese Communist Revolution to explore the effect of the sex ratio on women and children in Taiwan". Emory University. November 29, 2007

Fawcett, Kevin. 2009. "The Exchange of Education and Race in the Marriage Market". University of Toronto manuscript.

Foster, Andrew and Nizam Khan. 2000. "Equilibrating the marriage market in a rapidly growing population: Evidence from rural Bangladesh", Unpublished Working Paper, Brown University.

Gorgens,Tue, Xin Meng and Rhema Vaithianathan. "Stunting and selection effects of famine: A case study of the great Chinese famine". Working Paper, Australian National University, May 2005.

Heckman, J., L. Lochner, and Taber, (1999), "Human Capital Formation and General Equilbrium Treatment Effects: A Study of Tax and Tuition Policy," *Fiscal Studies* 20(1), 25-40.

Imbens,Guido M, Wooldridge,Jeffrey M. (2008) "Recent Developments in the Econometrics of Program Evaluation". NBER Working Paper No.

14251.

Kyle, Ursula G; Pichard, Claude. The Dutch Famine of 1944-1945: a pathophysiological model of long-term consequences of wasting disease. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*: July 2006 - Volume 9 - Issue 4 - p 388-394

Lardy, Nicholas, "The Great Leap Forward and After", in Roderick MacFarquhar and John K. Fairbanks, eds. *The Cambridge History of China*, volume 14. 1987.

Lavely, William and William M. Mason, "An Evaluation of the One Percent Clustered Sample of the 1990 Census of China." *Demographic Research*, Volume 15, 2006, pp. 329-346.

Li, Wei and Dennis Tao Yang, "The Great Leap Forward: The Anatomy of a Central Planning Disaster". *Journal of Political Economy.* 113, no. 4, 2005, pp. 840-877.

Lin, Justin Yifu and Dennis Tao Yang, "Food Availability, Entitlements, and the Chinese Famine of 1959-1961." *Economic Journal.* 110 (January), pp. 136-158.

Luo, Zhehui, Ren Mu, and Xiaobo Zhang. "Famine and Overweight in China". *Review of Agricultural Economics.* 28(3), 296-304. Proceedings, 2006.

Martin, Michael F., "Defining China's Rural Population." *The China Quarterly.* 130, 1992, pp. 392-401.

NBS [National Bureau of Statistics, Department of Comprehensive Statistics]. *Xin Zhongguo* 55 Nian tongji ziliao huibian (China Compendium of Statistics, 1949-2004). 2005.

Meng, Xin & Nancy Qian, The Long Term Consequences of Famine on Survivors: Evidence from a Unique Natural Experiment using China's Great

Famine", NBER Working Paper No. 14917, April 2009, Revised March 2010

Mu, R., and X. Zhang. 2010. "Why Does the Great Chinese Famine Affect the Male and Female Survivors Differently? Mortality Selection versus Son Preference." *Economics & Human Biology*.

Peng, Xizhe, "Demographic Consequences of the Great Leap Forward in China's Provinces," *Population and Development Review* 13:4 (1987), 639-670.

Pollak, Robert (1990) "Two-sex population models and classical stable population theory," In *Convergent Issues in Genetics and Demography*, ed. Julian Adams et. al. (New York: Oxford University Press) 317-33

Pollard, John H. (1997) "Modelling the interaction between the sexes," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 26, 11-24

Porter, Maria. "The effects of sex ratio imbalance on marriage & household decisions". Manuscript, Cambridge University, 2010.

Preston, Samuel H. and Alan Thomas Richards (1975) 'The influence of women's work opportunities on marriage rates,' *Demography* 12, 209-222

Ramakrishnan, U. et al. 1999. "Early childhood nutrition, education and fertility milestones in Guatemala." *Journal of Nutrition* 129(12): 2196.

Rasul, Imran. 2008. "Household bargaining over fertility: Theory and evidence from Malaysia." *Journal of Development Economics* 86(2): 215–241.

Qian, Zhenchao and Sam Preston (1993) 'Changes in American marriage, 1972 to 1987: availability and forces of attraction by age and education,' *American Sociological Review* 58, 482-495

Schoen, Robert (1981) "The harmonic mean as the basis of a realistic two-sex marriage model," *Demography* 18, 201-216

Shen, Jianfa, "Rural Development and Rural to Urban Migration in

China 1978-1990", *Geoforum*, 1995, volume 26, no.4, pp. 395-409.

Siow, Aloysius (2009). "How does the marriage market clear? An empirical framework," *Canadian Journal of Economics,* 41(4), 1121-1155.

Siow, Aloysius (2009a). "Testing Becker's Theory of Positive Assortative Matching". University of Toronto manuscript.`http://www.economics.utoronto.ca/index.php/index/research/workingPaperDetails/356`

St. Clair, David, Mingqing Xu, Peng Wang, Yaqin Yu, Yoorong Fan, Feng Zhang, Xiaoying Zheng, Niufan Gu, Guoyin Feng, Pak Sham, and Lin He (2005). "Rates of Adult Schizophrenia following prenatal exposure to the Chinese famines of 1959-1961." *Journal of the American Medical Association.* 294(5): 557-562. August 2005.

Wang, Feng. "China, Censuses of 1982 and 1990." In *Handbook of International Historical Microdata*, edited by Patricia Kelly Hall, and et. al. Minnesota Population Center, 2000. Chapter 4, pp. 45-60.

Notes

[1] For an overview of the Great Leap Forward, see Lardy (1987).

[2] Ashton et. al. (1984) provide estimates at the national level of the magnitude of the demographic crisis. See Peng (1987) for a detailed analysis of the impact of the famine at the provincial level. Lin and Yang (2000) and Li and Yang (2006) examine causes of the famine. Becker (1997) provides a narrative account.

[3] The famine extended beyond China's traditional famine belt region. For example, Sichuan province, where mass famines were rare, was one of the hardest struck.

[4] Similar results for Anhui province, which was also hard hit by the fmaine, are presented in our working paper, Brandt, Siow and Vogel 2009.

[5] In 1957, 86 percent of the labor force were in the primary (agriculture, fisheries and forestry) sector in Sichuan. Between 65-70 percent of GDP originated in the primary sector. These data are taken from Xin Zhongguo wushi nian tongji ziliao huibian, 2005). Further details are in Peng (1987).

[6] Peng(1987) documents that excess mortality was more severe in rural than in urban areas. At the national level, the excess crude death rate for the urban population between 1958-1962 was 13.84 compared to 7.94 for the two preceding years. By comparison, the excess crude death rate for the rural population rose from 11.45 to 24.45 over the same period. See Peng (1987), p. 646.

[7] Initially, we used a difference in differences strategy. These estimates were difficult to interpret because the famine was national in scope and the control provinces that we used were also affected by the famine.1982 and 1990 are census years. par

[8] Our decomposition is based on residual analysis, a common tool in economics (E.g. interpretation of Solow residuals in macroeconomics, estimates of discrimination in log earnings regressions.).

[9] A marriage matching function is a production function for marriages (Pollard 1997, Pollack 1990). Inputs are population vectors of types of individuals. Output is a matrix of who marries whom, and who remains unmarried.

[10] They include the effect of the legalization of abortion on marriage formation (CS), famine effects on marriage in China (Porter 2007), marriage and divorce in Denmark (Svarer and Weiss, in process), evolution of marital preferences in the US (Chiappori, et. al., in process), positive assortative matching in spousal education attainment (Siow

2009a), tradeoff between race and education in marriage matching (Fawcett 2009), marriage matching and spousal labor supply (Choo, Seitz and Siow, 2009). Siow (2009) is a survey and includes other applications.

[11] The inability of the regression framework using individual level data to deal with general equilibrium effects is well known (E.g. Imbens and Woolridge 2008; Heckman, Lochner and Taber 1999). Using marriage rate regressions, Angrist 2002 found that the causal effect of changes in the sex ratio on the male marriage rate is inconsistent with that found for the female marriage rate. Choo and Siow 2006 also provide another example.

[12] Conceptually, our framework allows for many individual characteristics. Practically, as we increase the number of characterisitics, we will run into a thin cell problem.

[13] While not a conceptual advance, relaxing the tight parameterization of BL is important for fitting the spousal matching distribution. Endogenizing the behavior of the unmarrieds is new to CS and it allows us to fit age-varying marriage rates.

[14] It cannot deal with marriage rates of unity. I.e. π_{ij}^t must be finite.

[15] See footnote 10.

[16] China's New Marriage Law in 1981 increased the age of marriage to 20 and 22 for females and males, respectively. In our analysis, the youngest individual in our control group would have been 26 in 1982, suggesting that any effect of the new marriage law on their behavior through whom they could marry would have been marginal.

[17] The presence of lifecyle effects in marital behavior neccesitates using time variation as a control group, i.e. we cannot use the marital behavior of 40 year olds in 1990 as a control for the behavior of 30 year olds in 1990 due to lifecycle differences between the two groups.

[18] The appendix shows that the sex ratios are influenced by differences in migration between males and females in the two provinces. In Sichuan, the higher out migration of females compared to men raises the sex ratio. The opposite is true in the case of Anhui.

[19] We thank one of the referees for providing such an example.

[20] For lifecycle reasons, the childlessness rates higher for younger married women.

[21] Age controls are i, j, i^2, j^2 and $i \times j$.

[22] There are two main changes of potential concern: (i) The marital reform act of 1980 which led to an increase in the legal age of marriage. (ii) Market liberalization of the rural economy in the late seventies and eighties. Neither change nor other changes specifically targeted the famine affected cohorts.

[23] For example if $\mu_{ij}^t < 10$, it is common for every marriage which is observed to have at least one birth.

[24] 330 missing observations were due to no observed marriage in the $\{i, j\}$ cell in 1982 and/or 1990. The rest of the missing observation were due to no childless marriage in the $\{i, j\}$ cell in 1982 and/or 1990.

Data Appendix [Not for publication]

All data are either from the 1% household sample of the 1982 Census of China, or the 1% clustered sample of the 1990 Census of China. Our samples are individuals in rural counties of Sichuan, over the age of 18. There are issues common to both censuses, as well as differences between them, that required attention for the analysis in this paper. This appendix describes the most important of those issues.

Sampling. The microdata sample for 1982 was sampled at the household level, while the 1990 "clustered" sample was sampled at the level of the *administrative unit.* Lavely and Mason (2006) discusses the geographic coverage of the 1990 sample and compares summary statistics from the sample to tabulations from the complete census. They concludes that, aside from a few discrepencies, the sample "reproduces the geographic distribution of population and major population components quite well." We would not expect the different sampling methods to bias our results. The marriage markets we investigate are *de facto* defined as the subset of rural counties in each province. While the two census samples will contain exactly the same counties, they ought to both have a representative sample of rural households in each province.

Defining rural areas. Our analysis covers rural counties in Sichuan. There has been much research and debate about the best definitions of rural and urban populations for various purposes (see Chan (1994), Martin (1992) and Shen (1995). The "official" definitions in fact changed between the 1982 and 1990 censuses. Our intention, in analyzing only rural areas, is to look at those areas most severely affected by the famine, and avoid conflating the very different effects suffered by cities and villages. Also, since the 1982 and

1990 use different classifications for the smaller geographic units, we wanted to select geographic areas on criteria which could be consistently applied in both censuses.

Both censuses define the largest three levels of geographic units according to a six digit *goubiao* (GB) code. The first two digits define the province (or municipalities like Beijing and Tianjin, which report directly to the central government). The second two digits define a prefecture within the province, and the last two label the counties within the prefecture. See Chan (1994) for a diagram of these levels in the two censuses. Within Sichuan, we select those counties whose last two digits indicate they are *xian* (as opposed to *shi* or *shixiaqu*, which refer to urban areas). This definition will generally not capture the same households as those included in the official definition.[25]

Migration. Migration introduces a number of potential biases into our analysis. In the construction of sex ratios for the rural-born population at the provincial level, our population of interest is those males and females born in rural Sichuan that survive to marriageable age. In the use of the 1982 and 1990 census data, this implies that we should include all individuals born in rural areas in Sichuan that migrated either to the cities or to other provinces. Analogously, we should exclude those individuals born outside of Sichuan that migrated into rural areas in these provinces. For the first difference methodology we use, we need information on migration in both census years in order to use alternative estimates of the sex ratios in our analysis.

In the 1982 census, no information is provided on place of birth, or migration. In the 1990 census, place of birth is again not provided, but information on migration between 1985 and 1990 is. In 1985, the famine affected cohort, i.e. those born between 1956 and 1964, would have been

between the ages of 21-29, and by 1990, 26-34. Thus, data on migration between 1985 and 1990 will pick up some, but not all, of the movement in and out of rural areas in these provinces, especially that related to marriage.

In the case of Sichuan, 1.41 percent of all women between the ages of 26-34 migrated, with 0.88 percent marriage-related. The percentage was higher for younger women. For men, the percentage that migrated out was 1.51, but only one-tenth was because of marriage. The primary reason was for work. Flows into rural Sichuan were smaller than the outflows, with the net outflow of women for marriage equal to 0.63 percent of the cohort. Overall, there was a small net outflow of females from Sichuan.

The 2000 census provides slightly richer information on migration that can be used to get a better sense of how serious these biases are. Of course, by 2000, attrition is also a much more serious problem. From the 2000 census, we know where an individual was born; where they were living at the time of the census; and if they had migrated in the last five years, the reason. The latter information is slightly less useful by 2000 because the cohort of interest would have been between the ages of 36- 44. We utilize this information along with that on an individual's registration status or hukou to identify the following: 1. Individuals with rural hukou that were born in Sichuan that are currently living in urban areas in Sichuan or in other provinces; 2.Individuals with rural hukou that were born in Sichuan that are currently living in rural areas in other provinces; 3.Individuals with rural hukou that were born outside Sichuan, but are currently living in rural areas in these two provinces. We construct an alternative estimate of the sex-ratio for rural-born Sichuan by adding individuals in groups 1 and 2 to those individuals who the census identifies as currently living in rural Sichuan, and then subtracting individuals in group 3. There are two remaining omissions

57

relating to the rural born between 1956 and 1964 that we cannot deal with. First, individuals that made it to marriageable age, but died before 2000. And second, individuals that were rural-born, but who at the time of the 2000 census had urban registration.

We graph old and new sex ratios in Figures A1 and A2. In the case of Sichuan, women are more likely than men to have migrated out of the province, with this only marginally offset by slightly higher in-migration of women than men into rural Sichuan. As a result, the new sex-ratio is slightly lower than the original estimate. This bias is fairly similar over all age cohorts, and again is in the vicinity of 5 percent. Overall, the small size of the bias, combined with the fact that the differences across cohorts are relatively small increases our confidence in our identification strategy.

Defining married couples within households. Except for household heads and their spouses, the census does not provide any definite way to determine who is married to whom within the household. Also, it provides no way to identify a person's spouse if that spouse does not live in the same household. For example, a male and female household member whose marital statuses are both "Married" and are identified "Children of the household head" may be married to each other (and one is a son-in-law or daughter-in-law). Or it is possible that they are both biological children of the household head who are married to spouses living outside the household.

Assuming that the first possibility is the more likely, we determine the married couples within households according to the following rules. First, we identify all "potential" married couples within each households as those who are of the opposite sex and have consistent relationships to the household head (both children of the head, or parents of the head, etc.). For children of household heads, we also required that potential couples be within five

years of age.[26] If each person in the household has only one potential spouse, we define them to be married. If a person has multiple potential spouses, we assign married couples through positive assortive matching by age, e.g., the oldest married male child is married to the oldest married female child within five years his age.

Determining spouses was by far most problematic for children of household Heads, simply because there were more of these than parents, grandparents, grandchildren, etc. Fortunately, in the majority of households, there was only one potential married couple amongst the children.[27] In Sichuan, amongst households where there was at least one potential married child couple, 93.3% had only one potential couple, while 99.6% had two potential combinations or less.

Imputing missing spouses. For some individuals, there were no potential spouses in their household; for example, there were households where the household head was married, but no spouse was present; or there were households with an odd number of married children. We imputed the age and education of these individuals' spouses by assigning values randomly from the distribution of spouse age and eduction for those of the same sex and age in that province with non-missing spouses.

Table 1: Sample Descriptive Statistics			
Census year	1982		1990
Province	Sichuan		Sichuan
No. men 18-50	188,081		225,261
No. women 18-50	179,727		221,750
Share ever married men	0.737		0.719
Share ever married women	0.846		0.802
Mean (woman's - spouse's age)	-3.216		-2.664
Share of high edu (male)	0.302		0.440
Share of high edu(female)	0.195		0.295

Table 2: Famine affected cohorts and their comparison groups			
	Pre famine	Famine	Post famine
Birth years	1956-1958	1959-1961	1962-1964
1982 ages	24-26	21-23	18-20cmBT/F39I0.909Tf1582h352.897Td[(21-23

7 Derivation of CS MMF (not for publication)

There are I types of men, $i = 1, .., I,$ and J types of women, $j = 1, .., J$. M is a population vector where element m_i is the number of eligible (single) men of type i. F is a population vector where element f_j is the number of eligible (single) women of type j.

Each marital match between two different types of individuals constitute a distinct sub-marriage market. With I types of men and J types of women, there are $I \times J$ sub-marriage markets.

In an $\{i, j\}$ marriage, $\Pi(i, j)$ marital output is generated. The marital output, $\Pi(i, j)$, is divided between the two spouses. Let $\widetilde{\tau}(i, j)$ be the share of the marital output that is obtained by a type j wife. Each wife also gets an idiosyncratic payoff from marriage which depends on her specific identity, the type of spouse that she marries and not his specific identity. Her idiosyncratic payoff also does not depend on $\widetilde{\tau}(i, j)$.

In an $\{i, j\}$ marriage, $\Pi(i, j) - \widetilde{\tau}(i, j)$ is the share of marital output that is obtained by a type i husband. Each husband also gets an idiosyncratic payoff that is specific to him, the type of spouse that he marries and not her specific identity. His idiosyncratic payoff also does not depend on $\Pi(i, j) - \widetilde{\tau}(i, j)$.

The above assumptions imply that every type i male regards every type j female as perfect spousal substitutes and vice versa.

Each individual also gets a systematic payoff from remaining unmarried which depends on their type as well as an idiosyncratic payoff which depends on their specific identity.

Given their payoffs, both systematic and idiosyncratic, from every potential spousal choice including remaining unmarried, each individual will choose the spousal choice which maximizes their utility.

Given $\widetilde{\tau}(i,j)$, we can solve each individual's spousal choice problem. We can aggregate these individual decisions into demand and supply functions for spouses in every $\{i,j\}$ submarriage market.

Finally, we solve for the matrix of $\widetilde{\tau}(i,j)$ which will equilibrate demand with supply in every submarriage market simultaneously.

Following the additive random utility model, let the utility of male g of type i who marries a female of type j be:

$$v_{ijg} = \Pi(i,j) - \widetilde{\tau}(i,j) + \varepsilon_{ijg} \tag{11}$$

As discussed above, $\Pi(i,j) - \widetilde{\tau}(i,j)$ is the systematic marital share of the husband. ε_{ijg} is his idiosyncratic payoff. The addition of an idiosyncratic payoff will make different men of type i make different choices. CS assumes that ε_{ijg} is an IID type I extreme value random variable.

If he chooses to remain unmarried, denoted by $j = 0$, his utility will be:

$$v_{i0g} = \Pi(i,0) + \varepsilon_{i0g} \tag{12}$$

where ε_{i0g} is also an idiosyncratic payoff which is another IID extreme value random variable.

This man g can choose to marry one of J types of spouses or not to marry. The utility from his optimal choice will satisfy:

$$v_{ig} = \max_{j}[v_{i0g}, .., v_{ijg}, .., v_{iJg}] \tag{13}$$

Let $\underline{\mu}(i,j)$ be the number of men of type i who want to marry women of type j. $\underline{\mu}(i,0)$ is the number of type i men who want to remain unmarried. When there are many type i males, McFadden (1974) showed that type i's

quasi-demand for ability type j spouses satisfy:

$$\ln \frac{\mu(i,j)}{\underline{\mu}(i,0)} = \Pi(i,j) - \widetilde{\tau}(i,j) - \Pi(i,0) \qquad (14)$$

Turning to the marital choices of women, let the utility of female k of type j who marries a male of type i be:

$$V_{ijk} = \widetilde{\tau}(i,j) + \epsilon_{ijk} \qquad (15)$$

As discussed above, $\widetilde{\tau}(i,j)$ is the systematic marital share of the wife. ϵ_{ijk} is her idiosyncratic payoff. Assume that ϵ_{ijk} is an IID extreme value random variable.

If she chooses to remain unmarried, denoted by $i = 0$, her utility will be:

$$V_{0jk} = \Pi(0,j) + \epsilon_{0jk} \qquad (16)$$

where ϵ_{0jk} is also an idiosyncratic payoff which is another IID extreme value random variable.

This woman k can choose to marry one of I types of spouses or not to marry. The utility from her optimal choice will satisfy:

$$V_{jk} = \max_{j}[V_{0jk}, .., V_{ijk}, .., V_{Ijk}] \qquad (17)$$

Let $\overline{\mu}(i,j)$ be the number of women of type j who want to marry men of type i. $\overline{\mu}(0,j)$ is the number of women of type j who wants to remain unmarried. When there are many type j females, type j's quasi-supply for i spouses satisfy:

$$\ln \frac{\overline{\mu}(i,j)}{\overline{\mu}(0,j)} = \widetilde{\tau}(i,j) - \Pi(0,j) \qquad (18)$$

For every $I \times J$ sub-marriage market, let $\widetilde{\tau}(i,j) = \tau(i,j)$ be the female equilibrium share of marital output in the $\{i,j\}$ sub-marriage market which

63

equilibrates the demand and supply of spouses in all sub-markets simultaneously. In this case, the equilibrium number of $\{i, j\}$ marriages, $\mu(i, j)$, will satisfy:

$$\mu(i, j) = \underline{\mu}(i, j) = \overline{\mu}(i, j) \ \forall \ i, j \tag{19}$$

Imposing marriage market clearing, (19), to the quasi-demand equation, (14), and to the quasi-supply equation, (18), we get the male and female net gains equations respectively:

$$\ln \frac{\mu(i, j)}{\mu(i, 0)} = \Pi(i, j) - \tau(i, j) - \Pi(i, 0) \tag{20}$$

$$\ln \frac{\mu(i, j)}{\mu(0, j)} = \tau(i, j) - \Pi(0, j) \tag{21}$$

Add the two net gains equations to get the CS marriage matching function (MMF):

$$\ln \frac{\mu(i, j)}{\sqrt{\mu(i, 0)\mu(0, j)}} = \frac{\Pi(i, j) - \Pi(i, 0) - \Pi(0, j)}{2} \ \forall \ i, j \tag{22}$$

CS calls the left hand side of (22) the total gains to marriage.

Figure 1: Sichuan number of individuals by age, 1990

Figure 2: Sichuan sex ratios by female age, 1990

sex ratio, men +3 yrs   —— sex ratio, men same age

Figure 3: Sichuan marriage rates, 1990, 1982

Figure 4: Sichuan 1990/1982 marriage rates

▬▬▬ 1990-1982 male mar rates	─── 1990-1982 female mar rates

Figure 5: Sichuan share of husband by his age gap, 1982

Figure 6: Sichuan share of husband by his age gap, 1990

Figure 7: Sichuan 1990/1982 share of husband by his age gap

Figure 8: Sichuan total gains, 1982

Figure 9: Sichuan total gains, 1990

Figure 10: Sichuan 1990−1982 total gains

Figure 11a: 1990 Sichuan male marriage rates

Figure 11b: 1990 Sichuan female marriage rates

Legend:
- Predicted CS female marriage rate
- actual female marriage rate

Figure 11c: Sichuan 1990 ratio of actual to predicted shares

Figure 12: Sichuan 1990, 1982 fraction low education female

Figure 13: Sichuan 1990, 1982 growth fraction low education female

Figure 14: Sichuan 1990/1982 female marriage rates by education

| | 1990/1982 high edu female | 1990/1982 low edu female |

Figure 15: Sichuan predicted, by age & edu, over actual marriage rates,1990

Figure 16: Sichuan HH 1990-1982 total gains by husband's age gap

Figure 17: Sichuan LL 1990-1982 total gains by husband's age gap

Figure A1: 2000 Anhui Sex ratio
(Male/female 3 years younger, X-axis by female age)

Figure A2: 2000 Sichuan Sex ratio
(Male/female 3 years younger, X-axis by female age)

Figure C1: Plot of change in log childlessness on age of wife

Table C0: Summary statistics

| Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Age range: 18-50					
ΔC^t_{ij}	419	-.403375	.7312324	-2.345145	1.750211
$\Delta \pi^t_{ij}$	419	.1264674	.5698193	-2.520713	2.082992
ΔSR^t_{ij}	419	-.1625499	.6851849	-2.650657	1.503534
ΔP^t_{ij}	419	.2058981	.3465076	-1.157331	1.439799
i	419	35.10024	8.553209	18	50
j	419	30.61814	8.797143	18	50
Age range: 25-40					
ΔC^t_{ij}	149	-.4469491	.7682676	-2.345145	1.66987
$\Delta \pi^t_{ij}$	149	.1343255	.4554135	-1.259811	.8218322
ΔSR^t_{ij}	149	.0951649	.6288718	-1.550327	1.503534
ΔP^t_{ij}	149	-.0022935	.3355636	-1.157331	.4032612
i	149	33.89262	4.242865	25	40
j	149	30.73826	4.180709	25	40

Table C1: Changes in log childlessness by changes in SR and POP

	(1) ΔC^t_{ij}	(2) ΔC^t_{ij}	(3) ΔC^t_{ij}	(4) ΔC^t_{ij}
ΔSR^t_{ij}	0.139	0.139	0.224	0.042
	(0.044)**	(0.050)**	(0.109)*	(0.126)
ΔP^t_{ij}	-0.190	-0.226	-0.141	-0.287
	(0.093)*	(0.107)*	(0.199)	(0.212)
Age quadratic		y		y
Observations	434	434	149	149
R-squared	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.16

Robust standard errors in parentheses
* significant at 5%; ** significant at 1%

Table C2: Changes in log childlessness by changes in total gains

	(1) ΔC^f_{ij}	(2) ΔC^f_{ij}	(3) ΔC^f_{ij}	(4) ΔC^f_{ij}	(5) ΔC^f_{ij}	(6) ΔC^f_{ij}	(7) ΔC^f_{ij}	(8) ΔC^f_{ij}
$\Delta \pi^t_{ij}$	-0.362 (0.054)**	-0.349 (0.052)**	-0.382 (0.059)**	-0.392 (0.058)**	-0.442 (0.141)**	-0.372 (0.148)*	-0.748 (0.235)**	-0.667 (0.236)**
ΔSR^t_{ij}		0.144 (0.043)**		0.161 (0.043)**		0.143 (0.108)		0.121 (0.107)
ΔP^t_{ij}			0.067 (0.094)	0.154 (0.093)			0.548 (0.295)	0.509 (0.291)
Observations	419	419	419	419	149	149	149	149